Appl. Nos. 09/694,416, 90/005,776 & 90/005,733 Amdt. dated February 15, 2007 Reply to Office Action of August 17, 2006

New Amendments to the Specification:

Replace the paragraph beginning at col. 6, line 24 with the following:

The results of each sub-task, M_1 , M_2 , and M_3 can be combined to produce the plaintext, M, by a number of techniques. However, it is found that they can most expeditiously be combined by a form of the Chinese Remainder Theorem (CRT) using, preferably, a recursive scheme. Generally, the plaintext M is obtained from the combination of the individual sub-tasks by the following relationship:

$$Y_i \equiv Y_{i-1} + ((M_1 - Y_{i-1})(w_i^{-1}(\text{mod } p_i))(\text{mod } p_i)) \cdot w_i(\text{mod } n)$$

$$[Y_i = Y_{i-1} + [(M_1 - Y_{i-1})(w_i^{-1} \mod p_i) \mod p_i] \cdot w_i \mod n]$$

where $[i \ge 2]$ $2 \le i \le k$ where k is the number of prime factors of n, and

$$M = Y_k, Y_1 = C_1$$
 and $w_i = \prod_{j \le i} p_j$

Encryption is performed in much the same manner as that used to obtain the plaintext M, provided (as noted above) the factors of n are available. Thus, the relationship

$$[C = M^e \pmod{n}] C \equiv M^e \pmod{n},$$

can be broken down into the three sub-tasks,

$$[C_1 = M_1^{e_1} \mod p_1] C_1 \equiv M_1^{e_1} (\mod p_1)_1$$

$$[C_2 = M_2^{e_2} \mod p_2] C_2 \equiv M_2^{e_2} (\mod p_2) \underline{\text{and}}$$

$$[C_3 = M_3^{e_3} \mod p_3] C_3 \equiv M_3^{e_3} (\mod p_3)_1$$

Appl. Nos. 09/694,416, 90/005,776 & 90/005,733 Amdt. dated February 15, 2007 Reply to Office Action of August 17, 2006

where

$$[M_1 = M \pmod{p_1}] \ \underline{M_1} \equiv M \pmod{p_1},$$

$$[M_2 = M \pmod{p_2}] \ \underline{M_2} \equiv M \pmod{p_2},$$

$$[M_3 = M \pmod{p_3}] \ \underline{M_3} \equiv M \pmod{p_3},$$

$$[e_1 = e \mod(p_1 - 1)] \ \underline{e_1} \equiv e \pmod(p_1 - 1),$$

$$[e_2 = e \mod(p_2 - 1)] \ \underline{e_2} \equiv e \pmod(p_2 - 1),$$
 and
$$[e_3 = e \mod(p_3 - 1)] \ \underline{e_3} \equiv e \pmod(p_3 - 1).$$